Academic Magnet High

1525 Avenue B South North Charleston, SC 29405

Grades 9-12 High School

Enrollment 512 Students

Principal Michael F. Tolley 843–746–1300

Superintendent Dr. Maria L. Goodloe–Johnson 843–937–6319

Board Chair Ms. Nancy Cook 873–760–2635

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

2006 R

ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

ABSOLUTE RATING

EXCELLENT

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

5 0 0 0 0 0 0

IMPROVEMENT RATING

EXCELLENT

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

YES

This school met 9 out of 9 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD								
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress					
2003	Excellent	Good	Yes					
2004	Excellent	Excellent	Yes					
2005	Excellent	Excellent	Yes					
2006	Excellent	Excellent	Yes					

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS								
		Our School		High Schools with Students Like Ours				
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006		
Passed 2 subtests	99.2	100.0	100.0	93.0	93.9	92.8		
Passed 1 subtest	0.8	N/A	N/A	4.8	3.7	4.6		
Passed no subtests	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.5	2.4	4.5		

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	100.0%	98.9%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	77.7	50.6
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	78.7	51.7
Seniors who met the grade point average	93.6	76.0

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	94	348
Number of Diplomas	94	319
Rate	100.0%	93.0%

END OF COURSE TESTS		
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	100.0	92.5
English 1	100.0	89.8
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	100.0	87.7
Physical Science	100.0	78.5
All Subjects	100.0	86.5

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2006		Eligibility Schola		Graduation Rate			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	117	100.0	94	77.7	94	100.0	Yes	
Gender								
Male	56	100.0	40	80.0	40	100.0	N/A	
Female	61	100.0	54	75.9	54	100.0	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	68	100.0	56	85.7	56	100.0	N/A	
African American	29	100.0	21	42.9	21	100.0	N/A	
Asian/Pacific Islander	13	100.0	11	90.9	11	100.0	N/A	
Hispanic	5	100.0	4	100.0	4	100.0	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	116	100.0	93	77.4	93	100.0	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	1	100.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	117	100.0	94	77.7	94	100.0	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	2	100.0	2	50.0	2	100.0	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	115	100.0	92	78.3	92	100.0	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	12	100.0	9	100.0	9	100.0	N/A	
Full-pay meals	105	100.0	85	81.2	85	100.0	N/A	

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

			GROUP

HSAP PERFORMANCE BY GRO	UP								
	Enrollment 1st		/ %		/ ~~	/	1	Performance Objecting	Participation Objective M
	glish/Lan						.3%		
All Students	151	100.0	N/A	2.7	16.8	80.5	99.3	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	75	100.0	N/A	1.4	16.4	82.2	98.6	N/A	N/A
Female	76	100.0	N/A	3.9	17.1	78.9	100.0	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group		400.0	NI/A	N1/A	40.5	00.5	400.0	. V	
White	90	100.0	N/A	N/A	13.5	86.5	100.0	Yes	Yes
African American	39	100.0	N/A	7.7	30.8	61.5	97.4	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	20	100.0	N/A	5.3	5.3	89.5	100.0	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status Not Disabled	151	100.0	N/A	2.7	16.8	80.5	99.3	N/A	NI/A
Disabled	151	N/A	N/A N/A	2.7 N/A	N/A	N/A	99.3 N/A	I/S	N/A I/S
		IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	1/3	1/5
Migrant Status Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	151	100.0	N/A	2.7	16.8	80.5	99.3	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	101	100.0	IN/A	2.1	10.0	00.3	J 33.3	IN/A	IN/A
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	149	100.0	N/A	2.7	16.9	80.4	99.3	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status	1 173	100.0	11/7	2.1	10.0	00.4	99.5	11/7	111/71
Subsidized meals	17	100.0	N/A	11.8	41.2	47.1	94.1	I/S	I/S
Full-pay meals	134	100.0	N/A	1.5	13.6	84.8	100.0	N/A	N/A
	lothomatic		Dorform				1 100.0	1 14//\	14// (

M	Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 50.0%									
All Students	151	100.0	N/A	N/A	19.5	80.5	100.0	Yes	Yes	
Gender										
Male	75	100.0	N/A	N/A	13.7	86.3	100.0	N/A	N/A	
Female	76	100.0	N/A	N/A	25.0	75.0	100.0	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	90	100.0	N/A	N/A	11.2	88.8	100.0	Yes	Yes	
African American	39	100.0	N/A	N/A	43.6	56.4	100.0	I/S	I/S	
Asian/Pacific Islander	20	100.0	N/A	N/A	10.5	89.5	100.0	I/S	I/S	
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S	
Disability Status										
Not Disabled	151	100.0	N/A	N/A	19.5	80.5	100.0	N/A	N/A	
Disabled	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S	
Migrant Status										
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-Migrant	151	100.0	N/A	N/A	19.5	80.5	100.0	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
Non-Limited English Proficient	149	100.0	N/A	N/A	19.6	80.4	100.0	N/A	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	17	100.0	N/A	N/A	35.3	64.7	100.0	I/S	I/S	
Full-pay meals	134	100.0	N/A	N/A	17.4	82.6	100.0	N/A	N/A	

SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School	Cha La	ange from ast Year	Hiq Scho with St Like	ools udents	Median High School
Students (n= 512)						
Retention rate	0.0%	No char	nge		2.9%	7.0%
Attendance rate	97.3%		•		96.5%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	71.0% 0.2%	- 1	59.8% om 1.6%		27.7% 6.2%	7.9% 12.3%
Older than usual for grade	0.2%	Up from	0.0%		3.5%	9.5%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	1.0%	Up from	0.4%		0.5%	1.2%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	96.5% N/A	Down fr N/A	om 99.0%		22.7% N/A	11.2% N/A
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*	77.7%				44.0%	10.2%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No char	nge		1.0%	2.8%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%		Ŭ		0.0%	3.5%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	37	Up from	20		422	448
Students participating in worked-based experiences	16.2%	Down fr	om 24.0%		9.7%	24.2%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	91.9%	Down fr	om 95.0%		90.2%	80.0%
Career/technology completers placed * Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem	N/A ents.	N/A		l 1	00.0%	99.1%
Teachers (n= 36)	22 -11					
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	66.7% N/AV	Down fr	om 69.4%		65.7% N/AV	55.5% N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	1.8%	N/A		2.4%		9.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	2.9%	Down fr	om 9.1%		2.9%	9.9%
Teachers returning from previous year	94.7%				90.9%	86.3%
Teacher attendance rate	94.4%		om 96.5%		94.9%	95.3%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher		Up 1.0%	om 14.5 days		45,871 1 days	\$42,943 11.2 days
School	13.3 uays	Downin	0111 14.5 uays	10.	i uays	11.2 uays
	2.0	Up from	. 1.0		2.0	3.0
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	20.3 to 1	No char		25	2.0 5.4 to 1	25.7 to 1
Prime instructional time	90.5%		om 92.3%		90.0%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*		Down 4			\$6,603	\$6,792
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	50.3%	Down fr	om 59.1%	59.3%		55.3%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	69.4%				63.0%	61.1%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No char	nge	Ex	cellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	93.7%	Up from	92.6%		98.2%	92.8%
SACS accreditation	Yes	No char	0		Yes	Yes
Character development * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Good	No char		<u> </u>	Good	Good
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highl	v qualified teach	ers		District 0.7%		State 6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high				0.7 %		10.2%
			State Object		Met St	ate Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in	0.0%			No		

Student attendance in this school *or greater than last year

94.0%*

Yes

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The mission of the Academic Magnet High School is to challenge each student, teacher, and parent with the high expectations of a rigorous curriculum; to provide a learning environment that thoroughly prepares students for college and to be citizens of the world; and to encourage in students self-esteem, a sense of global responsibility, and respect for cultural diversity.

Our students come together from all areas of Charleston County and represent a heterogeneous mixture in terms of ethnicity, religion, and socioeconomic status, while at the same time representing a homogeneous population in terms of academic goals, mindset, and motivation. The enthusiasm at AMHS is contagious as faculty and students challenge and motivate each other to reach for and achieve at their highest potential. Our learning environment is designed to be open and accepting, and to not only allow students to answer the question, but also to question the answer. Interdisciplinary approaches are used in conjunction with advanced technology to teach and encourage students to generate ideas, solve problems, and communicate solutions. We are proud of our students' academic and personal achievements and their involvement in both the school and larger community.

By offering only Honors and Advanced Placement courses, as well as requiring a senior thesis, AMHS challenges each student to excel in challenging courses and individual work to prepare him or her for college and beyond. The goals of AMHS include preparing students to be successful in admission to their college of choice, receiving recognition in terms of awards and scholarships, being successful college students, and building commitments to the local and global communities.

School and Student Highlights of the Year include:

- *Palmetto Gold Award winner
- *Nine National Merit Scholarship Finalists in 2006
- *Average SAT score for seniors (2004-2005): 1219
- *Average ACT score for seniors (2004-2005): 24.5
- *First Place Level IV and Second Place Level III Awards in the 2006 Quest Competition at Trident Technical College
- *Ninety-seven students identified as Advanced Placement Scholars and Eight National Advanced Placement Scholars in 2006
- *Ranked 10th Best High School in the Nation by "Newsweek" Magazine

By the interdependent efforts of faculty, students, parents, staff, administration and community, AMHS continues to offer our students the tools, instruction, and guidance necessary to increase their knowledge and skills to prepare them to be successful in their high school, college and future endeavors.

Michael F. Tolley, Principal Lynn Casterlin, SIC Chairperson

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	39	96	25
Percent satisfied with learning environment	97.4%	85.4%	87.5%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	97.4%	87.5%	88.0%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	100.0%	88.5%	76.0%

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.